

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,742	04/13/2004	Wei Li	MR1035-1449	4607
	7590 05/08/2007 , KLEIN & LEE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
3458 ELLICOT	TT CENTER DRIVE-SUIT	YENKE, BRIAN P		
ELLICOTT CI	TY, MD 21043		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/822,742	LI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Responsive	onse to Election/Restr (06 Apr 0	<u>7)</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	0 = 17				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2004</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 11-20 in the reply filed on 06 Apr 07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 1-10 are different embodiments are related and not distinct having at least one related operation therebetween, in addition the search and examination of all the claims would not be a serious burden on the examiner. In addition the applicant states that the examiner has not identified separate classifications, separate status in the art or different fields of search for the different Species. This is not found persuasive because

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 06 Apr 07.

Initially it is noted that the examiner provided an Election of Species, which is based upon applicant's 4 embodiments. The requirement for election is for the examiner to identify different embodiments/figures which the examiner did, thus addressing the applicant's statement concerning separate status/classification. Although the claims may have one related operation, this in itself does not preclude the claims from being restricted/elected, since the other operations of the claims are obviously not the same. Although the applicant states that the inventions are not distinct (which the examiner presumes means non-obvious variations), the examiner has restricted the claims based upon their distinctness and of course the burden of the examiner. It should be noted that regardless of whether the claims fall into the same search/status/classification the claims being distinct would seriously burden the examiner by searching for multiple inventions.

Drawings

Art Unit: 2622

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR

1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office

connection of the invention therewith.

action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the

brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may

be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the

filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the

drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The application currently has drawing which are illustrative of graphs, however, there is no drawing(s) illustrative of how the invention is carried out/steps required, thus the examiner requests the applicant to provide a drawing (i.e. flow chart at least) to illustrate the steps/process which is being carried out.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for

the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2622

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Graves et al., US 2003/0103057.

In considering claims 11-13, 16 and 19-20,

- a) the claimed obtaining intensity... is met by color correction window 230 (Fig 2) which allows the user to select/alter/adjust luminance and chrominance values (the claimed variation) and intensity/hue (the claimed intensity).
- b) the claimed calculating average and variance...is met where the system computes the mid's and differences of the selected luminance/chrominance value and the luminance/chrominance value of the other pixel.
- c) the claimed calculating luminance-contrast adjustment...is met where the system includes "auto-contrast buttons" (para 87, 88, Fig 4).
- d-e) the claimed constructing...is met where the system constructs a representation of the colorspace whether cylindrically or graphically.
- f) the claimed applying...is met where the selected points on the curve/position of the luma and chroma selected are applied to the video signal to map the original pixels.

In considering claims 14 and 17,

Graves discloses the use of LUT's (para 110).

In considering claim 18,

As stated above with respect to claim 11, Graves discloses the use of a cylindrical representation or tables.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2622

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is

Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor,

David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United

States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the

USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing

informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents.

Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect

customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding

federal holidays.

Art Unit: 2622

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.**P()**Y 03 May 07 BRIAN P. YENKE PRIMARY EXAMINEP